UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,416	01/26/2005	Tadashi Ishida	018765-204	5645
	7590 08/15/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,416	ISHIDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Betelhem Shewareged	1794	

	Betelhem Shewareged	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with an appearance. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		20 (
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finding reje	otod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5 and 6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	l c u l c ccr	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Betelhem Shewareged		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1/94	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is nothing that teaches or suggests in the prior art that the (co)polymer will no longer be in the form of particles. The (co)polymer is not always water dispersible or water soluble as taught in col. 4, lines 59-63 of the prior art. OPTONALLY, the (co)polymer can also be water insoluble. The prior art teaches the (co)polymer can be modified by using hydrophobic monomer (col. 8, lines 41-52). It is established that the use of hydrophobic monomer results in formation of water insoluble (co)polymer. Therefore, the invention of the prior art is not limited to water soluble (co)polymer. The Declaration filed on 07/15/2008 has been fully considered. The (co)polymer employed in the Declaration is hydropholic or water soluble; the Declaration does not show what the result would be if hydrophobic or water insoluble (co)polymer was to be employed.

The 35 USC 102 rejection is based on a single reference, it is not clear why the Applicant believes that the Examiner has attempted to combine Gallequillos et al with additional subject matter not disclosed by Gallequillos et al, in order to cure deficiencies.